

Magnetic Circuit Design for Correct Direction Detection of TLE4966V

Application Note

Rev. 1.0, 2013-10-28

Edition 2013-10-28

**Published by
Infineon Technologies AG
81726 Munich, Germany**

**© 2013 Infineon Technologies AG
All Rights Reserved.**

Legal Disclaimer

The information given in this document shall in no event be regarded as a guarantee of conditions or characteristics. With respect to any examples or hints given herein, any typical values stated herein and/or any information regarding the application of the device, Infineon Technologies hereby disclaims any and all warranties and liabilities of any kind, including without limitation, warranties of non-infringement of intellectual property rights of any third party.

Information

For further information on technology, delivery terms and conditions and prices, please contact the nearest Infineon Technologies Office (www.infineon.com).

Warnings

Due to technical requirements, components may contain dangerous substances. For information on the types in question, please contact the nearest Infineon Technologies Office.

Infineon Technologies components may be used in life-support devices or systems only with the express written approval of Infineon Technologies, if a failure of such components can reasonably be expected to cause the failure of that life-support device or system or to affect the safety or effectiveness of that device or system. Life support devices or systems are intended to be implanted in the human body or to support and/or maintain and sustain and/or protect human life. If they fail, it is reasonable to assume that the health of the user or other persons may be endangered.

Revision History: 2013-10-28, Rev. 1.0

Previous Revision:

Page	Subjects (major changes since last revision)

Trademarks of Infineon Technologies AG

APOXI™, BlueMoon™, COMNEON™, CONVERGATE™, COSIC™, C166™, CROSSAVE™, CanPAK™, CIPOS™, CoolMOS™, CoolSET™, CORECONTROL™, DAVE™, EasyPIM™, EconoBRIDGE™, EconoDUAL™, EconoPACK™, EconoPIM™, EiceDRIVER™, EUPEC™, FCOS™, FALC™, GEMINAX™, GOLDMOS™, HITFET™, HybridPACK™, ISAC™, ISOFACE™, IsoPACK™, my-d™, MIPAQ™, ModSTACK™, NovalithIC™, OmniTune™, OmniVia™, OPTIVERSE™, OptiMOS™, ORIGA™, PROFET™, PRO-SIL™, PrimePACK™, RASIC™, ReverSave™, SCEPTRE™, SEROCCO™, SICOFI™, SMARTi™, SMINT™, SOCRATES™, SatRIC™, SensoNor™, SINDRION™, SmartLEWIS™, SIEGET™, TrueNTRY™, TEMPFET™, TriCore™, thinQ!™, TRENCHSTOP™, VINAX™, VINETIC™, X-GOLD™, XMM™, X-PMU™, XPOSYS™, XWAY™.

Other Trademarks

AMBA™, ARM™, MULTI-ICE™, PRIMECELL™, REALVIEW™, THUMB™ of ARM Limited, UK. AUTOSAR™ is licensed by AUTOSAR development partnership. Bluetooth™ of Bluetooth SIG Inc. CAT-iq™ of DECT Forum. COLOSSUS™, FirstGPS™ of Trimble Navigation Ltd. EMV™ of EMVCo, LLC (Visa Holdings Inc.). EPCOS™ of Epcos AG. FLEXGO™ of Microsoft Corporation. FlexRay™ is licensed by FlexRay Consortium. HYPERTERMINAL™ of Hilgraeve Incorporated. IEC™ of Commission Electrotechnique Internationale. IrDA™ of Infrared Data Association Corporation. ISO™ of INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION. MATLAB™ of MathWorks, Inc. MAXIM™ of Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. MICROTEC™, NUCLEUS™ of Mentor Graphics Corporation. Mifare™ of NXP. MIPI™ of MIPI Alliance, Inc. MIPS™ of MIPS Technologies, Inc., USA. muRata™ of MURATA MANUFACTURING CO. OmniVision™ of OmniVision Technologies, Inc. Openwave™ Openwave Systems Inc. RED HAT™ Red Hat, Inc. RFMD™ RF Micro Devices, Inc. SIRIUS™ of Sirius Sattelite Radio Inc. SOLARIS™ of Sun Microsystems, Inc. SPANSION™ of Spansion LLC Ltd. Symbian™ of Symbian Software Limited. TAIYO YUDEN™ of Taiyo Yuden Co. TEAKLITE™ of CEVA, Inc. TEKTRONIX™ of Tektronix Inc. TOKO™ of TOKO KABUSHIKI KAISHA TA. UNIX™ of X/Open Company Limited. VERILOG™, PALLADIUM™ of Cadence Design Systems, Inc. VLYNQ™ of Texas Instruments Incorporated. VXWORKS™, WIND RIVER™ of WIND RIVER SYSTEMS, INC. ZETEX™ of Diodes Zetex Limited.

Last Trademarks Update 2009-05-27

Table of Contents

	Table of Contents	4
	List of Figures	5
1	Introduction	6
2	Direction Detection Mechanism	6
3	Mathematical Description	9
4	Example	11
5	Summary	14

List of Figures

Figure 1	Configuration with pole wheel and TLE4966V-1K double Hall sensor	6
Figure 2	Block diagram of the TLE4966V-1K	7
Figure 3	Internal phase shifted signals of S1 and S2	8
Figure 4	Wrong direction information (compare to ideal case in Figure 3)	9
Figure 5	Pole wheel with $N = 1$, Minimum required field $B_{min} = 9\text{mT}$ for $t_2 - t_1 = 0$	12
Figure 6	Pole wheel with $N = 2$, Minimum required field $B_{min} = 7\text{mT}$ at $t_2 - t_1 = 0$	12
Figure 7	Pole wheel with $N = 3$, Minimum required field $B_{min} = 6\text{mT}$ at $t_2 - t_1 = 0$	13
Figure 8	Minimum magnetic field B for different numbers of pole pairs @ $B_m = -1\text{mT}$	13

1 Introduction

For many applications the information of speed and rotation direction of a motor is necessary to know. This can be done very convenient with a Hall switch and a magnetized pole wheel mounted on the axle of the motor. To determine the rotation direction, two sensors in a certain distance are used (**Figure 1**). The direction information is then obtained from the phase difference of the two output signals of the Hall elements S1 and S2. The TLE4966V-1K consists of two Hall elements with a distance of $d = 1.25\text{mm}$ and calculates this information internally. A dedicated output pin provides the direction of rotation (HI /LO) corresponding to “right” or “left” whereas the speed is provided on a second output pin.




Figure 1 Configuration with pole wheel and TLE4966V-1K double Hall sensor

However, the reliability of the provided direction information depends on various parameters as well as the design of the magnetic circuit. This application note gives a guideline of the magnetic circuit design and explains the influence of the parameters (e.g. magnetic field strength, geometry of the pole wheel, distance to the sensor)

2 Direction Detection Mechanism

The basic principle of the direction detection algorithm implemented in the TLE4966V-1K is based on the fact that two internal signals are generated (from Hall elements S1 and S2 respectively), which have a certain phase shift. The direction of rotation corresponds to the sign of this phase shift (“which sensor switches first”). **Figure 2** shows the block diagram of the TLE4966V-1K.




Figure 2 Block diagram of the TLE4966V-1K

The chopped Double Hall Switch comprises two Hall probes S1 and S2, bias generator, compensation circuits, oscillator, and output transistors.

The bias generator provides currents for the Hall probes and the active circuits. Compensation circuits stabilize the temperature behavior and reduce technology variations.

The Active Error Compensation rejects offsets in signal stages and the influence of mechanical stress to the Hall probes caused by molding and soldering processes and other thermal stresses in the package. This chopper technique together with the threshold generator and the comparator ensures high accurate magnetic switching points.

The direction detection algorithm considers the two comparator switching of the two sensing elements S1 and S2 and determines the direction of rotation.

In **Figure 3** the two internal phase shifted signals from S1 and S2 are shown.




Figure 3 Internal phase shifted signals of S1 and S2

In the ideal case the magnetic parameters (B_{op} , B_{rp}) of the two Hall elements S1 and S2 are identical. Due to the phase difference of the signals, in the given example of [Figure 3](#), S2 reaches the operating point B_{op2} before Hall sensor S1. This determines the calculated direction information

However, in reality, there is always a mismatch of the magnetic parameters of S1 and S2 due to process and manufacturing tolerances. The matching of the magnetic properties is called magnetic matching B_{Match} . ($B_{Match} = B_{op1} - B_{op2}$). For the TLE4966 the magnetic matching B_{Match} is below ± 1 mT at room temperature (see corresponding data sheet).

Under certain conditions it can happen, that the provided direction information is wrong. This depends on the magnetic field strength, the geometry and the value of B_{Match} . Such an example is shown in [Figure 4](#) where sensor S1 reaches the threshold B_{op1} before sensor S2 reaches B_{op2} . This leads to a wrong calculation of rotation direction compared to the correct value ([Figure 3](#), S2 before S1).




Figure 4 Wrong direction information (compare to ideal case in Figure 3)

In the following chapter a mathematical description of this effect is given. With the provided equations an estimation is possible whether the magnetic circuit is robust enough to provide always the correct rotating direction information.

3 Mathematical Description

The starting point is Figure 1 where the geometry of the setup is displayed. R describes the radius of the pole wheel, s is the distance from the pole wheel to the sensing element and d is the distance of the sensing elements S1 and S2. For the TLE4966V $d = 1.25\text{mm}$. Due to this spatial distance of S1 and S2 a phase difference of the magnetic signal at the sensing elements S1 and S2 occurs. This phase difference Φ can be calculated with the following Equation (1), where N describes the number of pole pairs of the pole wheel:

$$\Phi = N \cdot \alpha = N \cdot \text{atan}\left(\frac{d}{s + R}\right) \quad (1)$$

It is obvious that the larger the phase difference Φ the easier is the detection of the correct rotation direction.

The magnetic field $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$ at the location of the sensing elements S1 and S2 can be expressed with the following equation (Equation (2)). A sinusoidal magnetic field is assumed.

$$B_2(t) = B_0 \cdot \sin(\omega \cdot t + \Phi), \quad B_1(t) = B_0 \cdot \sin(\omega \cdot t) \quad (2)$$

A sensor switching occurs at the time t_1 and t_2 as soon as $B_1(t_1) = B_{op1}$ and $B_2(t_2) = B_{op2}$.

With the help of Equation (2) the time t_1 and t_2 can be expressed:

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\omega} \cdot \text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{op1}}{B_0}\right) \quad (3)$$

$$t_2 = \frac{1}{\omega} \cdot \left[\text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{\text{op2}}}{B_0}\right) - \Phi \right]$$

Introducing now the magnetic matching B_{Match} as $B_{\text{Match}} = B_{\text{op1}} - B_{\text{op2}}$ leads to **Equation (4)**:

(4)

$$t_2 = \frac{1}{\omega} \cdot \left[\text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{\text{op1}} - B_{\text{Match}}}{B_0}\right) - \Phi \right]$$

As long as $t_2 - t_1$ does not change the sign, the calculated direction is correct. A change from correct to not-correct happens when $t_2 = t_1$. This gives the worst case condition which must be met in order not to have an error in rotation direction calculation. Substituting Φ from **Equation (1)** gives the final **Equation (5)**:

(5)

$$\text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{\text{op1}}}{B_0}\right) = \text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{\text{op1}} - B_{\text{Match}}}{B_0}\right) - \left(N \cdot \text{atan}\left(\frac{d}{s + R}\right)\right)$$

For $d \ll s + R$ the approximation $\text{atan}(x) = x$ is valid. This leads to the **Equation (6)**

(6)

$$\text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{\text{op1}}}{B_0}\right) = \text{asin}\left(\frac{B_{\text{op1}} - B_{\text{Match}}}{B_0}\right) - \frac{N \cdot d}{s + R}$$

Example

In this equation B_{op1} is the operating point of the sensor, B_0 is the amplitude of the magnetic field at the sensing element, B_{Match} describes the magnetic matching, N and R stand for the number of pole pairs and radius of the pole wheel and $d = 1.25\text{mm}$ is the distance of the sensing elements in the TLE4966. This equation can be solved numerically and the minimum magnetic field amplitude B_0 can be determined which is necessary to provide a correct rotation direction information for a given pole wheel and geometry of the magnetic circuit.

Due to the assumptions made and the simplifications in the model, the obtained value of B_0 is only an approximation. However, it gives a good indication whether the magnetic circuit is robust enough to provide a correct rotation direction information. When designing such a magnetic circuit, a safety margin should be included to account for the simplifications made. In addition, the temperature behavior of the magnetic material has to be considered. There is generally a decrease of magnetic flux density with the temperature which can be up to -2000ppm/K for ferrite magnets. This degradation has to be taken into account when designing the magnetic circuit which should work within the full temperature range of the application.

4 Example

In this chapter an example is shown how the reliability of calculated direction information depends on the geometry and pole wheel. The minimum magnetic field amplitude B_{min} is estimated which is necessary to obtain a correct value of the rotation direction. The following parameters are used:

- $B_{op} = 4.5\text{mT}$ (worst case value from data sheet TLE4966V-1K)
- $B_m = +/-1\text{mT}$: magnetic matching (= worst case value of data sheet)
- $R = 7.5\text{mm}$, radius of the pole wheel
- $s = 2.5\text{mm}$, distance between pole wheel and sensing element
- $d = 1.25\text{mm}$, distance of sensing elements on the TLE4966V-1K

Three different numbers of pole pairs are considered ($N = 1, 2, 3$). The [Equation \(5\)](#) is solved and the zero crossing ($t_1 = t_2$) is determined. This point of zero-crossing gives the necessary magnetic field strength B_{min} to provide correct direction information ([Figure 5](#), [Figure 6](#), [Figure 7](#)). For each pole wheel three curves for $B_{Match} = +1\text{mT}$, 0mT and -1mT are calculated.




Figure 5 Pole wheel with N = 1, Minimum required field $B_{min} = 9mT$ for $t_2 - t_1 = 0$




Figure 6 Pole wheel with N = 2, Minimum required field $B_{min} = 7mT$ at $t_2 - t_1 = 0$




Figure 7 Pole wheel with N = 3, Minimum required field $B_{min} = 6 \text{ mT}$ at $t_2 - t_1 = 0$

For a perfect magnetic matching ($B_m = 0\text{mT}$) the switching (t_2-t_1) is independent of magnetic field strength B . In any case the provided direction information is correct. The same is true for a positive magnetic matching ($B_m > 0$). Only for the case of a negative magnetic matching a wrong direction information can happen. This is visible in the zero crossing of the curves in above figures. The magnetic field value at the zero crossing corresponds to the minimum required magnetic field. For values below this the calculated direction information is wrong. It can be seen that with increasing numbers of pole pairs N the necessary magnetic field strength B_{min} to obtain correct rotation direction information is decreasing. For a pole wheel with three pairs ($N = 3$, [Figure 7](#)) it is reduced to $B = 6\text{mT}$ whereas for $N = 1$ a magnetic field of approx. 9mT is necessary. The performance is much better compared with the TLE4966K due to a much tighter specification for B_{match} .




Figure 8 Minimum magnetic field B for different numbers of pole pairs @ $B_m = -1\text{mT}$

5 Summary

The provided [Equation \(5\)](#) and [Equation \(6\)](#) can be used to estimate whether the used magnetic circuit is robust enough to provide always a correct rotation direction information. With the known geometry of the pole wheel and setup (N , R , s), along with worst case values from the data sheet (B_{op} , B_{Match}) the minimum required magnetic field strength B_{0min} at the location of the sensing elements can be calculated. If this value is below the magnetic field strength of the used pole wheel, a wrong direction information can occur. In such a case it is recommended that the magnetic circuit is changed so that more robustness is achieved. Due to the approximations used for calculation of the equations, a safety margin has to be included to ensure correct functionality in any condition.

This application note gives only an indication. A magnetic simulation with the target parameters is mandatory to be performed by the customer itself.

www.infineon.com